design//an endless questionnaire

This essay is not a complete essay. It eventually becomes a questionnaire. At the same time it is an essayistic questionnaire as the questions are not only *designed* to be answered, but they are also *designed* to make the questioned reflect upon the issue here, which is design. By reflecting upon a question and giving it a well thought through answer have you then not philosophised? Design appears at once such a clear activity and at the same time such a wide and all encompassing one and therefore surely so vague. Because of this I thought it would be a good idea to design a set of questions rather than just giving you my view on the issue. They might help us to commit ourselves to what design means *to us*.

//design = a word//

The word design comes from the Latin *designare* which means "to mark out" or "devise," which is a combination of *de-* "out" + *signare* "to mark," from *signum* "a mark, sign." A design marks out in signs what is to be devised or made, what is envisioned. A designer attempts to predict a future state of affairs. He leaps from an understanding of what there is now to what there will be if his design is realised. He has experience of the workings of that which is the subject of his special field of design: construction, architecture, machinery, household appliances, computer software, legislation, political processes, medical treatments, scientific experiments, you name it. Because he has this experience we trust him when presenting his future state and help him realise that future state.

There have been many attempts to systematise design processes. The interesting thing is how design is always an architectural process, in that it structures the world around us *for use*. It organises the world for our use, and that ordering for use is what characterises any kind of architecture, whether it concerns the architecture of the

computer, the architecture of a philosophical argument, the architecture of a theory about the world or indeed the architecture of the built environment.

//a philosophy of the BE{questionmark}//a philosophy of the design of the BE{questionmark}//

One question that we have to address before we start on this philosophy of design of the built environment is how a philosophy of the built environment and more specifically a philosophy of the design of the built environment distinguishes itself from any other kind of philosophy? This is a moot question. Let us not make it more difficult than it has to be. We can surely agree on a number of issues immediately. We can, surely without argument, acknowledge that the built environment concerns:

- technology: technological and artistic issues are relevant to the making and changing of our environment whether it concerns the best ways of employing technology in changing or making the environment we live in, be it the effects of that technology on us and on our environment or whether it concerns the
- society: the built environment is something that the
 organisation of work and the structuring of our society
 takes account of. Winston Churchil famously said that we
 shape our buildings and then they shape us. This is true on
 an individual level, but also a collective one
- spatial quality: Like music a building immerses the body fully, we enter buildings and as the body is an aggregate of more or les coordinated organs reacting to the environment it finds itself in, the quality of a space goes beyond mere social structuring as mentioned under the previous point. A

philosophy of the built environment must be concerned with the quality of its spaces from a bodily point of view

- meaning: The body is able to think and remember, and as buildings are the most static and present of our products they have become part of the language of social exchange. Buildings do not only cause bodily impressions, whereby they are bodily undergone, but they themselves speak, they express things. They do so subversively, presenting a subtext of unintended meanings as well as intended meanings. They tell us about our history, about what we find important, how society keeps itself ordered and how institutions speak of their own place in society but also of the place of society realitive to those institutions, they are not only books that can be read, but in reading them one reads what they say about themselves but also what they say about society at large, not to forget individual experience.
- art: Architecture is not just meaning and significance, it is everything art is as well. And art is the exploration of the world by calling that world into question. It has the task of being artitistic and the artist is the flea on the skin of society, reminding us of our tendency to become complacent and shaking us out of our somnolence. That is a crucial task of the built environment be sceptical of any person trying to minimise that role, they prove that the artistic anarchism of architecture is crucial to the very society it calls into question and criticises. The built environment not only engages the artistic in that it gives a place to art, but it is art itself.

So how does a philosophy of the design of the built environment distinguish itself from other kinds of philosophy? I would suggest that it does so in a number of ways:

- 1. it must incorporate its own rich tradition in thought and practice. Architectural theory is as old as building itself even though much of it lies implicit in the buildings we study of our history and thereby engage all the hermeneutic dilemma's of interpretation, it lies unfolded in the rich tradition of architectural thinking from the narrowly rational to the madly inconsistent, which has nevertheless helped to generate not only wonderful buildings, but even a way of looking at and undergoing our built environment. Any philosophy of the design of the built environment that is merely dismissive of that tradition is narrowly conceived and, as such, suspect.
- 2. Furthermore it incorporates, but cannot be fully represented by, the work of formal philosophy, with which I mean a purely analytical philosophy which has no other end but itself: the specialist and technical exploration of concepts as an end in itself. This is right for two reasons
 - a. A philosophy of design especially one that concerns our environment, although it must be cognisant of and take account of the relevant findings of formal philosophy, cannot help being rooted in a theory of action that precedes the discussion of formal philosophy. Like Sartre's adagio concerning human existence preceding human essence, design happens. The designer may reflect upon what he is designing and then we reflect on what he has designed and we both do so

with a sense that our ability to reflect on these things is adequate, or at least sufficient and we do so whether formal philosophy exists or does not. We cannot "wait" for formal philosophy to complete its task, if it ever does. We have to act, because we live now.

- b. Related to this is the fact that a philosophy of the design of the built environment must also take into account that a theory of design cannot be weighed down by the scrum of conflicting schools of thought if it is to be useful to a designer who has no desire to become a philosopher. As Paul Valéry rightly pointed out, people who act, need a cogent *philosophie de poche*.
- 3. It incorporates but cannot be fully represented by the philosophy of technology. A philosophy of the design of the built environment must concern itself with all aspects of the philosophy of technology as the design of the built environment is a matter of techné, of making. Although we use things in order to make our environment thereby affecting our environment by transforming it through that making, there are important aspects of the built environment that tread well outside the bounds of what are and should be the core issues for any philosophy of technology. The built environment and the design of the built environment is wider than the problem of technology, it is also the problem of the spatiotemporal organization of life and society and it is also the problem of the quality of social space to say nothing of the problem of traditional and new aesthetics.

- 4. It incorporates but cannot be fully represented by the phenomenology of space and spatiality. The organization and configuration of spaces and their construction may be said to be the core business of building. As such one could safely argue that a full understanding of the body and the space it moves through furnish far and away the most fruitful insights for the compelling design of buildings. Nevertheless the design and articulation of space has to be understood in terms of qualities that go beyond the bodily and reach into the spheres of the linguistic, complicating both by their simultaneous relevance.
- 5. It incorporates aspects but cannot be fully represented by the problems of linguistic theory, interpretation and exegesis. No one would deny that an important function of a building is for it to be an intentional or subliminal expression of society, a text in a context, and although a building can be read as a message either subliminally, subversively or explicitly, that is not and can never be seen as the whole of any building's or assemblage of building's significance. Moreover aspects of linguistic interpretation and criticism in buildings cannot be seen separate from the other philosophical concerns mentioned above making the whole thing yet more complicated on a level that makes the novel seem relatively straightforward.
- 6. It engages traditional and new aesthetics in that it is preeminently concerned with the realization of specified qualities belonging to traditional aesthetics such as beauty and sublimity, but also with the concerns of a wider pragmaticist aesthetics which tries to draw all experiential qualities and the issue of their desirability into the program of aesthetics

7. Furthermore any philosophy of the built environment severely undermines itself if it does not incorporate the problems of the politics of space and place, the economics of space and place, and the judicial concerns of space and place.

How to make sense of all this without trivializing any one part of it? That is probably impossible except if we state at the beginning that if we lay an emphasis here and spend a little more time there, we do so knowing that we are thereby expressing a personal bias, choosing a perspective from any possible perspectives.

//design [AND] inspiration: breathe in the (dirty) air//

Before we get down to brass tacks and start discussing a philosophy of the design of the built environment let's begin by subjecting the word design to a grilling. What is the activity of designing? What is a design? What does a designer do when he is being a designer? What is a designer when he is not a designer?

I once tried to picture design as a chaotic activity and tried to put that chaos into a loose sequence of imperatives. I called it a chaotic activity because the same concerns and the same acts often return but in a different order. Do you feel I have forgotten one or more?

- 1. Design! ASK: What? For whom? How? Where? When? Why? To what end? To what purpose? For whom? Who are the users? Have I taken all of them into consideration, do I need to? Are there hidden users whom I have not considered? Are abstract concepts like "Architecture" users of architecture?
- 2. LEARN! from nature and nurture: look [AND] watch [AND] touch [AND] taste [AND] smell [AND] hear [AND]

listen [AND] believe [AND] doubt [AND] think [AND] find [AND] know [AND] feel [AND] imitate [AND] explore [AND] do [AND] practice [AND] practice [AND] practice

- 3. DECIDE upon values [AND] norms
- 4. PRACTICE your knowledge, your ideas, seek out inconsistencies and conflicts
- 5. PRACTICE your attitude
- 6. PRACTICE what you preach and don't preach too much
- 7. PRACTICE your skills
- 8. DEVELOP a vision by imagining possibilities
- 9. EXPLORE your limitations [AND] possibilities well
- 10. CONCEPTUALIZE principles
- 11. BE critical, understanding, overstanding,
- 12. USE a misunderstanding to good effect
- 13. USE your means well and athletically
- 14. UNDERSTAND how and when you are abusing your means
- 15. ALLOW yourself to be shown, to be told, to be criticised
- 16. INDUCT, from experience to possible and cogent principles

- 17. DEDUCT from principles to possible consequences,
- 18. ABDUCT by seeking relations between apparently unrelated things
- 19. PRACTICE, rehearse, and practice again
- 20. EXPAND your frame of reference by looking, undergoing, reading, writing, teaching
- 21. DECIDE when it feels right/when it feels wrong
- 22. CONSIDER/RECONSIDER
- 23. INTERPRET without losing sight of the fact that that is what you are doing
- 24. NEGOTIATE problems
- 25. TELL, retell
- 26. DISCUSS
- 27. ZOOM in/out
- 28. HIP HOP, (do the exact opposite of what you intended to test your idea)
- 29. FORM habits
- 30. REFORM habits
- 31. KILL your darlings (dare to get rid of banal metaphors and favourite ideas that have started getting in the way of things)
- 32. BREAK habits [AND] BUILD new habits

- 33. REDO
- 34. SWITCH scales and relate them
- 35. SWITCH perspectives and relate them
- 36. CHANGE your mind? Or change your approach without changing you mind. Or change your mind without changing your approach
- 37. PERSEVERE when you feel it is right but it doesn't yet look it
- 38. START AGAIN? No.
- 39. MUDDLE ON? Try to remember what it was you set out doing.
- 40. DON'T WHINGE, boring
- 41. LOOK back and REFLECT: what have you actually done do you think?
- 42. DESIGN the next thing! And the next and the next.

43.	 	 	 		 	 					•	
44.	 	 	 				 					
15												

I am reminded of that wonderful quote by Samuel Beckett in Worstward HO (1983) "All of old. Nothing else ever. Ever tried. Ever failed. No matter. Try again. Fail again. Fail better."

//the design questionnaire...//exercise thought//the praxis of theoria//

This questionnaire has grown too large. I have had immense fun in designing it as Aristotelian exercise of theoria. In the tenth book of his Ethica Nichomachea Aristotle introduces the concept of the theoria. A word that is charged with meaning for anyone involved with the built environment where theory plays an important and controversial role. Michel Foucault, a pupil of Pierre Hadot, remarked that theory is an aspect of practice and vice verse; every praxis has its theory. That is also true for Aristotle. With him theory and practice do not exclude each other, they are aspects of each other. To have a theory you have to practice theorising, and to practice your craft you have to have some sort of theory, however brief. People who are determined to hold on to the idea that "they don't have a theory"merely have a theory about having theories. They are the truly vague thinkers even though they subversively claim to be merely practical.

Even the practice of questioning needs its theory. All three take account of each other. *Theoria*, in the original Greek means a passionate contemplation. In Hadot's interpretation of it, it is the practice of a passionate and critical contemplation of our image of the world, that which we accept as a theory toughened by analysis and critique.

Praxis is a practicing of living within the world as conceived. For Aristotle the practice of philosophy comes together in *theoria*. The game is a form of solitaire although it can be done in groups any size. It goes as follows: take your own collective and organised image of the world, the collection of concepts we collectively see as a unit called *our attitude to the world* or, if you like, *our philosophy, or theory*. Don't try to grasp it in its totality, for it is too large and complex for that. Instead grasp it in such a way that a particular question comes to the fore. Taking that as your starting point, compare the question or problem that is in focus with other beliefs

that make up part of your theory or philosophy. By comparing them, try to confront the inconsistencies you come across. An easy example of a position where inconsistencies appear is with the question: "are you a racist?" Well, most people would, I hope, deny that they are racists, even when they pose the question to themselves in the privacy of their own little world of thought. Fair enough. But is their behaviour compatible with that idea of themselves? Do those who profess to be non-racists treat those of another race truly as equals, or do they overcompensate by becoming positive discriminators, or do feel intensely uncomfortable in certain situations where an issue of race comes to the fore? Treating someone as an equal is extremely difficult. Anyway, the wonderful thing about this game of Theoria is that you play it with yourself, so you can be completely honest with yourself, surely there is no reason to lie to yourself? No one else need know your actual feelings. But say that you genuinely do not want to be thought of as prejudiced with regard to race or gender, it is this game that will discover the inconsistencies if you take it far enough and that will allow you to think of strategies to address those inconsistencies. I thought I was not a racist, I think so still, but there is a difference. This game was able to tell me in which situations I was acutely conscious of otherness; it made me confront those inconsistencies so that my sense of myself as a non-racist corresponded better with my behaviour and my feelings in certain situations. It helped me figure out what to do in certain situations. It made me call racism into question, made me explore its grounds and problems. My nonracism became a more nuanced and exercised concept, fit and athletic, able to confront situations in daily life. It made me reconstitute my belief as to what race means and how it impacts on daily life and action.

Everyone looks at an issue from a particular perspective, so you have to look for possible inconsistencies by trying as it were to

measure the angle of your perspective and make up your mind about it. As to whether it is a real inconsistency or just a trick of perspective. If it is a real inconsistency you might need a distinction to resolve the issue, or you might have to relinquish one or more of your opinions, you might need to practice other behaviour. Often being conscious of a feeling is enough to overcome it. In any case theoria is a game whereby you measure and place your many opinions and convictions and try to see how they fare when thought through and brought into confrontation with each other. When trying to fit them together, decide upon the reason why and how a particular opinion should lead to a particular action. Fit them together actively. In this way theory is a philosophical practice, a vigorous form of exercise that will lead to a more consistent symphonic and syncopated image of the world, even if it remains flawed and incomplete. Remember also that dissonance can lead to fantastic music. Nevertheless it will lead to an image with which you can approach the gods and act in conformance with their wishes and perhaps even become one of them.

So now to the questionnaire. Some questions are scherzando, others are dangerous and misleading and *designed* to help you catch you entertaining an inconsistent belief, but most of them are meant in a straightforward way. What I hope is that, in the most gentle way, you will come to question yourself.

What is design of the built environment? Design is an activity that.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T
		F
1	produces cities, neighbourhoods, buildings, constructions and building systems	
2	produces plans for projects and logistical processes	

3	produces drawings that tell others how to do or make something	
4	produces drawings to show what the finished product will/might/could look like	
5	produces drawings to show what other want to see	
6	produces visions of a desirable future	
7	shows how to realise such visions	
8	produces nothing but lies, lies and more lies	
9	produces designs for useful objects that are useful because they are strong and stable	
10	produces designs for useful objects that can be used for their intended purpose	
11	produces designs for useful objects that can be used in more ways than their intended purpose	
12	produces designs for useful objects that can be used for many things except their intended purpose	
13	produces designs for useful objects that can be used as art	
14	produces designs for useful objects that can be used to affirm society	
15	produces designs for useful objects that pretend to be useful in one way but are really only therapeutic	
16	produces designs for useful objects that are useful because they make the designer, manufacturer and retailer very rich?	
17	tries to negotiate means and ends	
18	must never sacrifice means to ends	
19	Is allowed to sacrifices means to ends	
20	Is allowed to sacrifice ends to means	

21	is dirty, greedy, lascivious & omnivorous	
22	consumes metaphors, similes, analogies, sympathies, juxtapositions, convenientias, and any description that gives a foothold	

It is possible to design.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

		T F
1	Buildings	
2	Hairdryers	
3	Good people	
4	Just societies	
5	God	
6	Games	
7	Beautiful people	
8	Intelligence	
9	The natural world	
10	Beautiful buildings	
11	Good buildings	
12	True buildings	
13	Cities	
14	Generous cities	
15	Kind cities	
16	Horrible cities	
17	Lazy streets	
18	Silence	
19	Character	
20	Kind people	
21	Better buildings	
22	Better people	
23	Better cities	
24	Happy neighbourhoods	
25	Communities	
26	Borders	

27	Buildings	
28	Intelligent machines	
29	Happy streets	
30	Specialness	
31	Hell	

Aretē: An urban planner, an urban designer, a developer, an architect, an engineer and a builder can only become good at their job if they.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T F
1	are also good people	
2	have a diploma from a university	
3	have acquired the requisite skills for doing their job	
	from working on the job	
4	concentrate on the job in hand	
5	understand society and the way it works	
6	believe in God	
7	place their design task into the wider context of	
	society	
8	question everything they do	
9	consider the impact of their design on society	
10	consider the impact of their design on the	
	environment	
11	Are good team workers	
12	Are good designers whether they are good team	
	workers or not	
13	Do what is expected of them	
14	Make people aware of the importance of what they	
	do	
15	Understand the wider implications of their actions in	
	all spheres of their life	
16	Believe in nothing	
17	Believe in something but not God	
18	Keep to what matters in this world	

19	Keep to saying things about this world that can be	
	verified by experience	
20	Are prepared to listen to criticism	
21	Do their own thing even when other people doubt	
	their intentions and methods	
22		

Technē: What is a good design? What is a good building? What is good architecture? What is a good city? What is a good system? A good design.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	ΤF
1	satisfies the client even when his wishes conflict	
	with those of the designer	
2	satisfies the designer even when his wishes conflict	
	with those of the occupants	
3	Satisfies the occupants even when their wishes	
	conflict with those of a fair society	
4	Satisfies a fair society even when its wishes conflict	
	with those of the occupants	
5	Satisfies society even when their wishes conflict	
	with those of the client	
6	Is technically innovative	
7	Is innovative and therefore not fully developed and	
	faulty	
8	Only makes use of well-tried building methods	
9	Only makes use of traditional building methods	
10	Is socially innovative	

What is the role of the beautiful, the good and the true? Beauty.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T F
1	Is independent of usefulness	

2	Is a meaningless word and should not be used when	
	talking about the built environment	
3	Is a sign of the good	
4	Is the good	
5	Is the sign of truth and truth is the language of the	
	good	
6	Is independent of exchange value	
7	must not be tainted by the interest and worries of	
	daily life	
8	is always erotic	
9	is purposefulness without purpose	
10	is the useless	
11	is what you get when you balance usefulness with	
	stability and desirability	
12	Beauty is not relevant to the built environment	
13	Good	
14	Ugliness can never be beautiful	
15	is a property of the thing thought beautiful	
16	Is a property of the subject looking at the thing	
17	Defines the relationship between the subject	
	looking at the thing and the thing presented to his	
	sensory apparatus	
18	Expresses truths about the world, also	
	uncomfortable truths	
19	Expresses the good in the world, even good things	
	that might be bad for some	
20	Beauty should have a single clear meaning to be	
	useful	
21	Should mean anything to anyone as long as people	
	declare what they mean by it whe challenged	
22	Is dangerous and should be avoided	
23	Is useful	
24	Makes things look more attractive than they should	
	be	
25	Is mendacious	

is building an art of a science and does it make any difference? What does practical mean? And usefulness?

What do we really want? What do we do it for.....?(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T F
1	To become famous	
2	To become rich	
3	To create a society where everyone is happy	
4	To be good at what we do	
5	To be famous because being famous is a sign that we	
	are good at what we do	
6	To be rich, because to be rich is a sign that we are	
	good at what we do	
7	To make good buildings even when society no longer	
	needs them	
8	To make enough to live on	
9	To create a fair and just society in which everyone	
	can pursue their own sense of good	
10	To create a society in which everyone shares the	
	same idea about what is good	

How do usefulness, stability and desire relate to each other?

	True or False	ΤF
1	A useful building is a building that is stable enough	
	to house the activity it was meant for	
2	A useful building is desirable if it is useful for	
	housing activities	
3	A desirable building is a building that is useful	
4	A desirable building is a building that is stable	
	enough to be useful	
5	A stable building is desirable	
6	A stable building is useful	

7	A useful building is desirable if it is useful for	
	expressing messages	
8	A useful building is desirable if it is useful to the	
	environment by enriching it	
9	A beautiful building does not have to be stable	
10	A beautiful building does not have to be useful	
11	A beautiful building does not have to be desirable	

Function, form and fine-tuning their relationship.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T F
1	Form follows function	
2	Function follows form	
3	Form and function adapt to each other	
4	Function concerns only the program of a building	
5	Function concerns any use the building makes	
	possible	
6	Form suggests function only if you have experience	
	of both	
7	Functions suggest form only if you have experience	
	of both	
8	Forms do not always have to have a function	
9	Beauty can be a function of a building	
10	Functionalism was a movement that did not	
	understand the full significance of the word function	

Epistēmē: what does philosophy hold for the designer? Philosophy.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T F
1	questions the activity of design	
2	Helps the designer form an attitude to the design task	
3	Makes the business of design questionable	

4	Burdens the design task with all sorts of unnecessary	
	concerns	
5	Is crucial now that design has become such a	
	complex and environmentally sensitive task	
6	Cannot be reconciled with the problems of design	
7		
8		
9		
10		

Decorum.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T F
1	A building should express its function	
2	A building should be flexible enough to change its	
	function	
3	If a building changes its function it does not matter	
	that it expresses another function	
4	A building should not express its function, it should	
	be designed to make a good street	
5	A space should always surprise	
6	A space should sometimes surprise	
7	Buildings can look selfish	
8	Buildings are buildings and cannot have human	
	characteristics	
9	Whether buildings do or do not have human	
	characteristics is irrelevant to the designer he has	
	more important concerns	
10		

Buildings are generated by \ldots (tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T F
--	---------------	-----

1	The wish to perform an activity	
2	The wish to perform that activity in an environment	
	protected from the elements	
3	The wish to perform that activity in a carefully	
	controlled climate	
4	The wish to perform that activity in a well structured	
	social setting	
5	The wish to establish one's position in society	
6	The wish to leave something to posterity	
7	The wish to become richer	
8	The wish to explore the limits of the possible	
9	The wish to become a famous designer	
11	The wish to serve society	
12	The wish to	
13		
14		
15		

How do we judge buildings or the built environment?

We measure the quality of a building against.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T F
1	The purpose it was built for	
2	The purpose we want to use it for	
3	Objective quality criteria	
4	Subjective quality criteria	
5	Intersubjective quality criteria	
6	Their consistency in a system of logic	
7	Our experience of them after we have undergonge	
	them as	
	Tourists?	
	Visitors?	
	Occupants? (people who live and/or work in them)	

	Cleaners	
	Maintenance workers?	
	Investors?	
	Designers?	
8	the wishes of the client	
9	The wishes of the occupants (who live and/or work	
	there)	
10	The wishes of society at large	

When considering a design conflict the interests of one party are generally chosen above the interests of another. Considering the users of architecture: the client, the occupants (who live and/or work there) visitors to building (patients, guests, family visiting the patients etc) the cleaners and other maintenance workers, the builders, the designer, the developer, government, society, the people who live or work in the vicinity of the building, , the idea of architecture, which should win out in a direct conflict?(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	ΤF
1	The architect over the client	
2	The client over the occupant	
3	The government over the client	
4	"Architecture" over the government	
5	Society over the architect	
6	The occupants over everything else	
7	The passerby over the occupants (when it concerns	
	the exterior of the building)	
8	Maintenance workers and cleaners over the other	
	occupants	
9	Visitors to the building (patients, old age pensioners,	
	their family) over staff (dokters, nurses etc.)	
10	Architecture over the client	

Write: intention, interpretation design reinterpretation, production, interpretation = reading

If we say that writing is *sort* of like designing a building and that reading is sort of like undergoing a building by any of its users then.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T F
1	Writing is more creative than reading	
2	Reading is just as creative as writing	
3	A person interpreting the building is producing his	
	experience	
4	A person designing the building has total control	
	over the experience of the user	
5	Writing is an activity where you try to achieve your	
	intention	
6	Reading is an activity where you allow yourself to be	
	surprised	
7	Reading is just as intentional as writing, you only see	
	what you want to see	
8	Reading a building well is just as hard as designing it	
	well	
9		
10		

Some things about a building are surely more important than other things. That a building(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	T F
1	does not leak is always more important than (IAMIT)	
	whether it is technologically innovative	
2	Looks good as an object in the city IAMIT the cost of	
	a building	
3	is efficient in its routing to perform an activity	
	quickly IAMIT its orientation relative to the sun	

4	Thinking about light and dark IAMIT programmatic	
	efficiency	
5	Safety IAMIT programmatic efficiency	
6	Safety IAMIT comfort and convenience	
7	Safety IAMIT usefulness	
8	Healthy building IAMIT safety	
9		
10		

A building is only beautiful if it is.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	ΤF
1	desirable for whatever reason	
2	a building that has an original shape	
3	is well constructed	
4	useful to its occupants	
5	liked by many people, regardless of their level of	
	education	
6	liked by people who are well educated	
7	liked by experts	
8	liked by me	
9	liked by someone I respect	
10	a building that performs one or more of its uses well	
11	old	
12	new	
13	Not made of concrete	

How do we arrive at a judgment?.....(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	TF
1	On the basis of logic	
2	On the basis of our emotions	

3	On the basis of our experience of life	
4	On the basis of certain knowledge	
5	On the basis of beliefs I hold	
6	On the basis of logic weighted by feelings	
7	On the basis of beliefs subjected to logical reasoning	
	so that conclusion follow (deduction)	
8	Compelling ideas acquired through experiment and	
	exploration of experience (induction)	
9	By creatively exploring possible relations between	
	things previously unrelated (abduction)	
10	On the basis of logic which is a combination of a	
	binary operation [IF] {A} [THEN] {b} but whereby	
	the terms a and b have to be filled in in such a way	
	that they feel right	
11	On the basis of axioms which cannot be proven but	
	which appear to conform with our experience of the	
	world	
12		
13		

What do we need to justify as designers? \dots (tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	ΤF
1	Our design decisions	
2	Our selections of material, building systems	
3	Our actions while designing	
4	The buildings we have designed	
5	The ideas we use to come to a design decision	
6	The logic we employ when coming to a design	
	decision	
7	Our beliefs regarding the role of the design in society	
8	The role of the finished product in society	
9	Our thoughts while designing	
10	Nothing, we do not need to justify ourselves ever	

If design is an activity that produces a vision of a desirable state of affairs and shows how that vision can be realized then it is important to...(tick one box per question, 1 is not important 5 is extremely important)

		1 2 3 4 5
1	have a clear view of what is desirable	
2	know how the world works	
3	know how society works	
4	know how people's bodies work	
5	Know whether the god exists	
6	To know what the purpose of life is	
7	To know how bodies relate to the environment	
	they live in	
8	To use language with precision	
9	That words can be very precisely defined	
10	That we have words for everything	
11	We all speak the same language	
12	Be able to draw by hand	
13	To think logically	
14	To think creatively	
15	To think with reference to experience	
16	To know about the traditions of design	
17	To know about the history of design	
18	To understand specific precedents	
19	That everyone should know the same history	
20	That everyone should know the same	
	precedents	
21	That everyone speaks the same language	
22	Have the skill to present that vision	
23		
24		
25		

Design and evolution are often seen as opposites. Test your own view:(tick the boxes T for true and F for false)

	True or False	TF
1	Evolution is blind, anything that manages to reproduce is "successful"	
2	Design is not blind, it is intentional, it is driven by a stated purpose	
3	Design uses experience in order to define intentions	
4	DNA as gene sequences, store the design specifications of an organism	
5	DNA encodes the design of an organism	
6	Evolution is a process of selection	
7	DNA is a memory storage device	
8	We speak of a design evolving	
9	We speak of the design of an eye	
10	We speak of the history of design evolving	
11	Any design that is used and survives over a period of time leading to new developments is "successful	
12	In order to have an intention in design you have to have experience of how things work	
13	Design is a process of selecting that which is thought to work well in a situation	
14	Consciousness involves a memory storage device	
15	I know for certain that trees do not have something akin to consciousness	
16	I know for certain that spiders do not have something akin to consciousness	
17	Consciousness is able to affect human evolution through learning	
18	Evolution and design are identical processes	

Thank you for filling in this questionnaire. Now start again.